

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8204	
09/902,113	07/10/2001		R. Terry K. Baker	1.902.12		
26000	7590	10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
HENRY E	. NAYLC	R & ASSOCIATE	HENDRICKSON, STUART L			
P.O. BOX 86060 BATON ROUGE, LA 70879-6060				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1754		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	

	Application No.	Applicant(s	Applicant(s)							
Office Action Commission	9051/3		Bater							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. \	Group Art Unit							
	NRABA	y USA								
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover she	et beneath the c	correspondence add	ress—						
P riod for Reply	_									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by definitive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutor ault, expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the applica	y minimum of thirty HS from the mailing tion to become ABA	(30) days will be conside date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.Ć. § 1:	red timely. on. 33).						
Status (12.1)										
Status M Responsive to communication(s) filed on ハンルしろ	· .			·						
☐ This action is FINAL.										
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters 035 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as 213.	to the merits is clo	sed in						
Disposition of Claims	_									
♥ Claim(s) [-3]		is/are	is/are pending in the application.							
Of the above claim(s)	21-34	is/are withdrawn from considerati		ideration.						
□ Claim(s)										
)3. Claim(s)	is/are	is/are rejected.								
□ Claim(s)	·									
[3] Claim(s) -	39		are subject to restriction or election							
Application Papers	i.	requin								
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	/ea.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Exam	iner	•							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)										
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 (a)–(d).								
	□ All □ Some* □ None of the:									
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	. `									
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	• •		•							
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	•		•							
in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	•	17.2(a))								
				- •						
Atta hment(s)	5									
Information Disclosure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413								
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Info	rmal Pat nt Applicati	n, PTO-152						
│ □ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-9)48	□ Other								
Office Acti n Summary										
										

ent and Trademark Office 8 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.



Art Unit: 1754

The lack of argument is taken to be an election without traverse. The nonelected claims have not yet been cancelled (Ochiai).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9-11, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Audier et al. article.

Audier teaches on pgs. 220-221 and 223 in particular conical-faceted shaped nanotubes, which are crystalline. Although the product is not described identically, no differences are seen due to the similarity in synthesis and structure reported. Claim 5 is deemed met by virtue of the well known reactivity of edge groups with air.

Claims 5-8, 11-14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Audier et al. taken with Kaner et al.

Audier does not explicitly teach surface groups, however Kaner teaches introducing groups to functionalize the fibers to make them more useful. Note in particular column

Application/Control Number: 09/902,113

Art Unit: 1754

10; the examiner takes Official Notice that the claimed groups are old and known and described as groups on carbon fibers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754